

LED2021 Programme and Book of Abstracts

13th – 17th September 2021

International Scientific Organizing Committee

Lee Arnold, Andrzej Bluszcz, Regina DeWitt, Geoff Duller (Chair), Christophe Falguères, Mayank Jain, Gloria I. López, P. Morthekai, Naomi Porat, Sumiko Tsukamoto, Liping Zhou

Conference Website: led2021.org

18	Yorinao Shitaoka	Quartz OSL dating to find formative ages of Higher terraces burring valleys in the central Lesser Nepal Himalayas	<u>View</u>
19	William McCreary	Challenges in updating the luminescence chronology of the Chaîne des Puys volcanic province, France	<u>View</u>
20	Yiwei Chen	OSL dating of young dunes in upper reach of the Yarlung Tsangpo River, southwest Tibetan Plateau	<u>View</u>
21	Katharina Seeger	Using infrared stimulated luminescence dating for establishing a chronology of morphologic activity in a dry valley in the Andean Precordillera, N Chile	<u>View</u>
22	Toru Tamura	Luminescence characteristics of coastal sediments in East Antarctica	<u>View</u>
23	hui li Yang	Optical dating of paleoearthquakes along the Ms7.4 1985 Wuqia earthquake surface ruptures at the NE margin of the Pamir Syntaxis	<u>View</u>
23	Thays Desiree Mineli	Application of quartz OSL standardised growth curve for dating of Brazilian sediments	View
25	Carlos Mazoca	Feldspar luminescence characteristics from a large amazonian river: ages, SGC and sensitivity	<u>View</u>
26	Qinjing Shen	Late Quaternary OSL chronology and aeolian landform processes in the Hulunbuir dune field, NE China	<u>View</u>
27	Elizaveta Butuzova	Shedding light on the chronology of the largest Late Quaternary transgression of the Caspian Sea	<u>View</u>
28	Aijun Sun	Optical dating reveals the evolution of oases since the last deglacial at the southern margin of Tarim basin, NW China	<u>View</u>
29	Eslem BEN AROUS	ESR dating of Early to Middle Pleistocene coastal dunes, South Africa: a comparison with the luminescence chronology	<u>View</u>
30	Pankaj Sharma	Application of luminescence and radiocarbon dating techniques in studying slack water deposits: A case study from Upper Indus River, Ladakh.	<u>View</u>
31	Jiafu Zhang	Radiocarbon and luminescence dating of the Wulanmulun site in Ordos, China	View
32	Miren del Val	Luminescence and ESR dating of the multi-level karst system of Alkerdi-Zelaieta (Navarre, western Pyrenees) and implications for the provenance study.	<u>View</u>
33	Fei Han	Radiometric dating of Meipu hominin site in Three Gorges and western Hubei area, China by coupled ESR/U-series method and cosmogenic ²⁶ Al/ ¹⁰ Be burial dating	<u>View</u>

Shedding light on the chronology of the largest Late Quaternary transgression of the Caspian Sea

Butuzova E.A.1*, Kurbanov R.N.1,2, Taratunina N.A.1,2, T.A. Yanina2, Murray, A.S.3

¹Institute of Geography RAS, Staromonety per., 26, Moscow, Russia ²Lomovosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory 1, Moscow, Russia ³Nordic Laboratory for Luminescence Dating, Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, Risø Campus, Roskilde, Denmark

*Presenting Author: lizabutuzova@gmail.com

The Late Quaternary history of the Caspian Basin remains controversial. One of the major disagreements in this debate concerns the stratigraphic correlation of various deposits in the Caspian Basin. Differences in tectonic regime and in preservation of the geological record in different regions have prevented reliable correlation of local stratotype sections across the region. Because of the absence of a reliable chronology, each region is described in terms of its own self-contained stratigraphy. The Lower Volga region is uniquely attractive for its record of palaeogeographic events, and for the opportunity to build a single stratigraphic and palaeogeographic history of the Pleistocene of Central Eurasia. The existing radiocarbon chronology does not allow the resolution of the two largest transgressions of the Late Quaternary (Early and Late Khvalynian). Based on clear palaeontological and geomorphological evidence, these must be very different in age, but shells associated with both transgressions gave very scattered ages of between 8 and 50 ka [1]. The main topic of discussion is existence of the deep regression phase between the two Khalynian transgressions. This Enotaevka regression was recorded in the Lower Volga as a thin subaerial unit between two marine horizons containing Khvalynian marine fauna. Unfortunately the section containing this evidence was destroyed by later river bank erosion and coastal construction. Recently these deposits have been identified at Kosika, on the right valley side of the Volga river.

The top of the Kosika section is represented by the upper Baery knoll strata (deflated sands), that passes through clear erosional boundary to horizon of loess (~95 cm) with clear evidence of soil-formation. A palaeosol has developed within these subaerial sediments, and is represented by a series of genetic horizons with clear evidence of erosion. Lower part of the section is represented by the marine Caspian sea clays with marine shells. Luminescence dating has allowed us to reconstruct the timing of the main evolutionary stages during the second half of the Khvalynian transgression. From the comparison of blue OSL, IR₅₀, and pIRIR₂₉₀ ages it appears that quartz OSL signals were probably all sufficiently bleached before deposition, so that any uncertainties in bleaching do not contribute significantly to the reliability of the quartz luminescence ages. 15 OSL ages describe the main stages: re-worked Upper Khvalynian sands (8.3±0.5 ka; x samples); a palaeosol formed in the Enotaevka regressive horizon (12-13 ka; y samples); Lower Khvalynian sediments (19-22 ka; 3 samples). This research reveals for the first time the evolution of the Enotaevka regressive series of the Lower Volga and provides a numerical age for the main stages of the Late Quaternary environmental evolution of the region. This work was supported by Russian Science Foundation (19-77-10077).

Keywords: Caspian sea, marine transgression, Late Quaternary, sea-level change.

References

[1] Arslanov, K. A., Yanina, T. A., Chepalyga, A. L., Svitoch, A. A., Makshaev, R. R., Maksimov, F. E., Chernov, S. B., Terty chniy, N. I. & Starikova, A. A. 2016: On the age of the Khvalynian deposits of the Caspian Sea coast according to ¹⁴C and ²³⁰Th/²³⁴U methods. Quaternary International 409, Part A, 81-87.